

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		the state of the s				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/802,038	03/17/2004	Christian Decker	13909-152001 / 2003P00916	3461		
32864 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/09/2007 ARDSON P.C.		EXAMINER			
PO BOX 1022	•		LA, ANH V			
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2612			
		·-·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
3 MO	NTHS	04/09/2007	PAF	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/802,0	38	DECKER ET AL					
		Examine	•	Art Unit					
			Anh V. La		2612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation app	ears on the	e cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply verply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 unication. tutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no evivill apply and w cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a rep ill expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>22 Ja</i>	nuary 200	7 .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٥,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
		nnlication		•		_			
•	✓ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.			,					
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. 17							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or	r election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examine	r.		•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•	•	•	eceived in this Nationa	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	He)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
B) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/31/05,9/29/05</u> . 6) Other:									

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 6-21, 25-33, and 36-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saylor (US 6,661,340).

Regarding claim 1, Saylor discloses a document management system comprising a physical-document monitoring device comprising a document coupling device (column 8, line 35- col. 9, line 15), a sensor 212-216 coupled to the document coupling device, the sensor operable to sense a state of a document and to generate a signal representative thereof (col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25), and a computer 220, 242 coupled to the sensor, the computer operable to determine a document state based on the signal.

Regarding claim 20, Saylor discloses a method for document management at a physical document comprising sensing a state of a document (212-216), generating a signal representing the documents state, determining the document state based on the signal, and generating a wireless signal representing the document state (fig. 1-8, col. 5, line 35- col. 9, line 15, col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 33, Saylor discloses an article comprising a machine-readable medium storing instructions operable to cause one or more machines to perform operations comprising determining whether a state of a document has been sensed (212-216), determining the document state, and generating a wireless message representing the document state (fig. 1-8, col. 5, line 35- col. 9, line 15, col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25).

Regarding claims 6, 26, 37, Saylor discloses the document state comprising an environmental condition of the document (col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25).

Regarding claim 7, Saylor discloses the environmental condition comprising illumination (col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25).

Regarding claim 8, Saylor discloses a wireless communication device (figure 2).

Regarding claim 9, Saylor discloses the sent data comprising the determined document state (col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25).

Regarding claims 10, 28, 39, Saylor discloses state data for a non-physical version of document (fig. 2).

Regarding claims 11, 27, 38, Saylor discloses document meta-data (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 12, Saylor discloses a text string (fig. 1-8).

Regarding claims 13, 25, 36, Saylor discloses a document location (col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25).

Regarding claims 14, 29, 40, Saylor discloses an allowable document state (col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 15, Saylor discloses a rule that expresses the allowable document state (col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25).

Regarding claims 16, 30, 41, Saylor discloses determining whether the allowable document state has been violated (col. 5, lines 15-30, col. 11, line 40- col. 12, line 25).

Regarding claims 17, 31, 42, Saylor discloses a displaying device providing a visual indication of physical document status (fig. 2-8).

Regarding claim 18, Saylor discloses a user input device (fig. 2).

Regarding claims 19, 32, 43, Saylor discloses a wireless message (fig. 1-8).

Regarding claim 21, Saylor discloses coupling a physical-document monitoring device to the document (fig. 2).

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5, 22, 23, 24, 34, 35, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saylor in view of Yoshida (US 6,940,092).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 5, 22-24, 34, 35, Saylor discloses all the claimed subject matters as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but still does not disclose binding the document (claims 2, 22), the document state comprising the number of document pages (claims 3, 23, 34), the sensor sensing the pages based on capacitance (claim 5), and sensing an electrical value affected by a dielectric (claims 24, 35). Yoshida teaches

Art Unit: 2612

the use of binding the document (511, figures 5-6), the document state comprising the number of document pages (col. 15, lines 35-55), the sensor sensing the pages based on capacitance (col. 15, lines 5-25), and sensing an electrical value affected by a dielectric (col. 8, lines 60-68). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include binding the document, the document state comprising the number of document pages, the sensor sensing the pages based on capacitance, and sensing an electrical value affected by a dielectric to the system of Saylor as taught by Yoshida for the purpose of sensing the state of the document.

Regarding claim 4, Saylor discloses the sensor comprising the document coupling device (col. 8, line 35- col. 9, line 15).

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ehrensvard and Przygoda teach monitoring systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh V. La whose telephone number is (571) 272-2970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ANH V. LA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anh V La Primary Examiner Art Unit 2612

Al March 19, 2007